Graph Neural Network Approaches for Intelligent Topology Optimization in Future Wireless Networks

Kareem Wagner
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA
kareemwagner85@unm.edu

Abhay M. Varma
Department of Computer Science, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas, USA
abhaycodes@unt.edu

Fucui Pan
School of Computing, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, USA
fucuicodes@siu.edu

Abstract

Future wireless networks are evolving toward increasingly decentralized, heterogeneous, and adaptive infrastructures characterized by dense device connectivity, dynamic traffic conditions, and highly variable service requirements. Traditional topology optimization approaches, which often rely on static heuristics or centralized optimization frameworks, face substantial limitations when confronted with the scale, volatility, and structural complexity of next-generation wireless ecosystems. In this context, graph neural network approaches have emerged as a transformative paradigm capable of modeling relational dependencies, adaptive connectivity patterns, and distributed interactions across wireless infrastructures. This paper examines the role of graph neural networks in enabling intelligent topology optimization for future wireless networks, with particular emphasis on system-level architecture, infrastructure governance, deployment constraints, sustainability considerations, and operational robustness. The study analyzes how graph-oriented learning mechanisms can enhance routing efficiency, interference mitigation, energy-aware coordination, mobility management, and network resilience in large-scale wireless environments. The discussion further evaluates the implications of graph-based optimization across 5G Advanced, sixth-generation communication systems, edge-cloud architectures, vehicular communication systems, and integrated terrestrial-satellite infrastructures. Beyond technical performance, the paper investigates fairness, transparency, policy governance, and security concerns associated with autonomous topology adaptation. Comparative assessments between conventional optimization methods and graph learning frameworks are also presented to highlight evolving trade-offs between scalability, interpretability, computational overhead, and operational autonomy. The paper concludes that graph neural network architectures are likely to become foundational components of intelligent wireless infrastructures, although substantial interdisciplinary challenges related to regulation, sustainability, and trustworthy deployment remain unresolved.

Keywords

Graph neural networks; wireless networks; topology optimization; intelligent infrastructure; network intelligence; edge computing; 6G systems; network resilience; sustainable communications; autonomous networking.

1. Introduction

Wireless communication systems are entering an era defined by unprecedented infrastructural complexity, heterogeneous connectivity models, and continuously evolving service demands.

The transition from traditional cellular architectures toward highly distributed intelligent communication ecosystems has introduced significant challenges in topology management, resource coordination, and adaptive network optimization. Emerging wireless environments increasingly integrate edge computing, Internet of Things infrastructures, autonomous transportation systems, unmanned aerial networks, low Earth orbit satellite constellations, and industrial cyber-physical systems into unified communication fabrics. Such convergence has intensified the need for intelligent topology optimization frameworks capable of adapting to dynamic operational conditions in real time [1][2].

Conventional topology optimization techniques were primarily designed for relatively stable communication environments with predictable traffic distributions and limited network heterogeneity. These methods often depend on deterministic optimization, handcrafted heuristics, or centralized control architectures that struggle to scale effectively under the highly decentralized and volatile conditions anticipated in future wireless systems [3]. The rapid densification of communication infrastructures further amplifies interference complexity, mobility uncertainty, and coordination overhead. As a result, future wireless systems require adaptive intelligence mechanisms capable of continuously learning structural relationships between nodes, links, traffic flows, and environmental contexts.

Graph neural networks have emerged as highly promising frameworks for addressing these challenges due to their capacity to model relational dependencies within large-scale interconnected systems [4]. Unlike conventional machine learning architectures that primarily process Euclidean data structures, graph neural networks operate directly on graph-based representations, making them particularly suitable for wireless communication infrastructures where nodes and communication links naturally form dynamic graph topologies. This capability allows graph neural networks to capture spatial, temporal, and structural interactions simultaneously while supporting scalable distributed inference across complex infrastructures.

The significance of graph-oriented intelligence extends beyond purely technical optimization. Future wireless systems are increasingly treated as socio-technical infrastructures that influence economic productivity, public safety, industrial automation, healthcare delivery, transportation coordination, and national security. Consequently, topology optimization cannot be viewed solely as a problem of maximizing throughput or minimizing latency. Instead, it must incorporate broader concerns including energy sustainability, fairness across user populations, resilience against cyber threats, governance transparency, and regulatory compliance [5]. Intelligent topology adaptation therefore requires interdisciplinary perspectives that integrate engineering efficiency with infrastructural accountability.

Recent developments in artificial intelligence-driven networking have accelerated the adoption of graph learning techniques for routing optimization, interference prediction, spectrum allocation, and fault management [6]. Nevertheless, many existing studies remain narrowly focused on isolated performance metrics rather than comprehensive system-level implications. There remains a substantial need for holistic analysis regarding how graph neural network approaches may reshape future wireless infrastructures from architectural, governance, operational, and sustainability perspectives. This paper addresses this gap by providing a detailed examination of graph neural network approaches for intelligent topology optimization in future wireless networks.

2. Evolution of Wireless Topology Optimization

The historical evolution of wireless topology optimization reflects broader transitions in communication infrastructure design. Early wireless systems relied heavily on fixed hierarchical architectures characterized by relatively static base station deployments and predictable traffic distributions. Optimization priorities during this period primarily emphasized coverage maximization and spectral efficiency through deterministic engineering models [7]. The relatively modest scale of these systems enabled centralized optimization strategies to maintain acceptable performance.

The emergence of mobile broadband ecosystems significantly transformed topology management requirements. Increasing user mobility, multimedia traffic demands, and service heterogeneity introduced highly dynamic operational environments where static optimization became increasingly ineffective. Fifth-generation communication systems accelerated this transformation by introducing network slicing, ultra-reliable low-latency communications, massive machine-type communications, and edge-cloud integration [8]. These developments required communication infrastructures to support highly diverse operational profiles simultaneously.

Traditional optimization approaches faced several structural limitations under such conditions. Centralized optimization mechanisms often generated excessive signaling overhead and computational bottlenecks, particularly in ultra-dense network environments. Heuristic-based methods frequently lacked adaptability to rapidly changing traffic conditions and mobility patterns. Furthermore, deterministic models struggled to capture the nonlinear interactions between interference patterns, user behavior, energy consumption, and environmental variability [9].

The emergence of self-organizing networks represented an important transitional phase toward intelligent wireless infrastructures. Self-organizing frameworks introduced limited forms of automation for configuration management, fault recovery, and load balancing. However, many self-organizing implementations remained dependent on predefined rules and reactive policies that lacked deeper contextual understanding [10]. These systems often exhibited limited generalization capabilities when confronted with unforeseen network conditions.

Artificial intelligence techniques subsequently introduced more adaptive optimization capabilities into wireless systems. Early machine learning applications primarily relied on supervised learning and reinforcement learning approaches for specific tasks such as traffic prediction or resource allocation. While these methods improved adaptability, many struggled to effectively represent the relational complexity inherent in large-scale communication infrastructures. Wireless networks are fundamentally graph-structured systems in which node interactions, link dependencies, and spatial relationships significantly influence global performance. Conventional neural network architectures often fail to adequately capture these dependencies.

Graph neural networks addressed this structural mismatch by enabling learning directly on graph representations. This shift marked a conceptual transition from node-centric optimization toward relationship-centric intelligence. Instead of treating network entities as isolated components, graph neural networks enable topology optimization frameworks to model interconnected dependencies across entire infrastructures [11]. Such relational intelligence is particularly valuable in future wireless environments characterized by distributed autonomy and highly dynamic topological evolution.

The evolution toward graph-based optimization also reflects changing assumptions regarding control authority within communication infrastructures. Traditional centralized architectures increasingly conflict with the decentralization requirements of edge computing ecosystems and autonomous network management. Graph neural network frameworks support distributed inference mechanisms that align more closely with the decentralized operational models anticipated in future sixth-generation communication systems [12].

3. Graph Neural Networks and Wireless Infrastructure Intelligence

Graph neural networks provide a computational framework specifically designed to process graph-structured data while preserving relational dependencies between interconnected entities. In wireless communication systems, graph structures naturally emerge through connectivity relationships among devices, base stations, edge servers, and communication pathways. Nodes may represent communication entities, while edges encode interference relationships, signal strengths, routing connections, or cooperative coordination patterns [13].

One of the defining strengths of graph neural networks lies in their ability to aggregate contextual information across neighboring nodes while preserving local and global structural properties. This capability enables communication systems to learn complex interaction patterns that are difficult to capture using conventional optimization techniques. For example, interference management in dense wireless environments depends not only on individual node characteristics but also on collective spatial interactions across surrounding infrastructure components [14]. Graph neural networks can capture these relational dependencies through iterative message-passing processes.

Wireless infrastructures are increasingly characterized by temporal instability due to user mobility, fluctuating traffic demands, and environmental variability. Dynamic graph neural network architectures extend conventional graph learning by incorporating temporal evolution into topology optimization processes. Such models can continuously adapt network configurations based on changing operational conditions, enabling more resilient and responsive communication systems [15].

Edge computing environments represent a particularly important application domain for graph neural network optimization. Future wireless systems are expected to distribute computational intelligence across edge nodes to reduce latency and improve scalability. However, edge environments introduce highly decentralized coordination challenges. Graph neural networks support distributed intelligence by enabling localized decision-making while preserving awareness of broader network conditions [16]. This balance between local autonomy and global coordination is essential for scalable wireless infrastructure management.

The integration of graph neural networks into wireless systems also supports more efficient mobility management. User mobility patterns generate continuous topological fluctuations that complicate handover coordination and load balancing. Graph learning models can predict evolving connectivity relationships and proactively optimize topology configurations to reduce service disruptions [17]. Such predictive adaptability becomes increasingly important in autonomous transportation systems and immersive communication environments where latency sensitivity is extremely high.

Another major advantage of graph neural networks involves their capacity to support heterogeneous infrastructure integration. Future wireless ecosystems will likely combine terrestrial cellular networks, aerial communication platforms, satellite systems, and industrial Internet of Things infrastructures into unified communication architectures. These heterogeneous environments exhibit highly diverse connectivity patterns and operational constraints. Graph-based learning frameworks provide a flexible abstraction capable of representing such complex multi-domain infrastructures within a unified optimization framework [18].

Despite these advantages, graph neural network deployment in wireless infrastructures also introduces significant challenges. Large-scale graph processing can generate substantial computational overhead, particularly in real-time environments with rapidly changing topology states. Training complexity may increase significantly as network size expands. Furthermore, distributed graph inference mechanisms require careful synchronization to avoid instability and inconsistent optimization decisions [19]. These challenges highlight the need for infrastructure-aware graph learning architectures specifically designed for communication environments.

4. Intelligent Topology Optimization in 5G Advanced and 6G Systems

The transition toward 5G Advanced and sixth-generation communication systems has intensified interest in autonomous topology optimization mechanisms capable of supporting ultra-dense and highly heterogeneous infrastructures. These next-generation systems are expected to enable immersive communication, industrial automation, digital twins, intelligent transportation, and integrated sensing capabilities at unprecedented scale [20]. Such operational requirements significantly exceed the optimization capacity of traditional wireless management approaches.

Graph neural network frameworks are increasingly viewed as foundational components for enabling adaptive topology intelligence within these environments. In ultra-dense communication systems, interference relationships become highly nonlinear and geographically dynamic. Conventional optimization methods often struggle to efficiently model the multidimensional interactions among neighboring transmission nodes. Graph learning approaches address this challenge by capturing spatial dependency structures directly within the network topology representation [21].

Topology optimization in sixth-generation systems also involves balancing multiple competing objectives simultaneously. Future wireless infrastructures must optimize spectral efficiency, latency, energy consumption, reliability, fairness, and security under highly variable operating conditions. Graph neural networks support multi-objective optimization by enabling context-aware coordination across interconnected infrastructure layers. Instead of optimizing isolated performance metrics independently, graph-based intelligence can evaluate broader system trade-offs dynamically [22].

Integrated terrestrial-satellite communication systems further increase topological complexity. Low Earth orbit satellite constellations introduce rapidly changing connectivity relationships due to orbital motion and variable atmospheric conditions. Traditional routing and topology management frameworks may become ineffective under such dynamic conditions. Graph neural networks provide adaptive learning mechanisms capable of continuously updating connectivity models based on evolving network states [23]. This capability may become critical for achieving seamless global connectivity in future communication ecosystems.

Network slicing environments present another important application area for graph-oriented topology optimization. Fifth-generation and sixth-generation systems increasingly support multiple virtualized network slices with highly distinct service requirements. Industrial automation applications may prioritize ultra-low latency and reliability, while multimedia services emphasize throughput scalability. Graph neural networks enable infrastructure intelligence mechanisms to dynamically adapt topology configurations according to slice-specific operational objectives [24].

Recent research has also explored graph reinforcement learning approaches for wireless topology adaptation. These hybrid frameworks combine graph representation learning with sequential decision-making capabilities to enable autonomous optimization under uncertain conditions. Such approaches may support self-evolving communication infrastructures capable of continuously learning from operational experience [25]. However, fully autonomous optimization raises important concerns regarding governance transparency, policy accountability, and operational predictability.

The growing dependence on artificial intelligence-driven topology management also creates new infrastructural vulnerabilities. Adversarial attacks targeting graph learning models could potentially manipulate routing decisions, disrupt load balancing mechanisms, or degrade network reliability. Future wireless systems therefore require robust security frameworks capable of protecting graph-based intelligence architectures from malicious interference [26]. Trustworthy deployment will depend on integrating resilience mechanisms directly into graph learning pipelines.

5. Sustainability and Energy Efficiency Considerations

Sustainability has emerged as a central concern in future wireless infrastructure development due to escalating energy consumption associated with ultra-dense communication environments and artificial intelligence-driven network management. The expansion of edge computing, massive machine-type communications, and pervasive connectivity infrastructures may substantially increase the environmental footprint of global communication systems [27]. Consequently, topology optimization frameworks must increasingly incorporate sustainability objectives alongside traditional performance metrics.

Graph neural networks offer significant opportunities for improving energy-aware infrastructure coordination. By modeling relational dependencies between communication nodes, graph learning frameworks can identify energy-efficient routing pathways, optimize transmission coordination, and reduce unnecessary infrastructure activation during periods of low demand [28]. This adaptive coordination capability may contribute to substantial reductions in overall network energy consumption.

Energy-aware topology optimization becomes particularly important in edge computing ecosystems where distributed computational nodes operate under constrained power budgets. Graph neural networks can support intelligent workload distribution by dynamically balancing computational demands across edge infrastructures while minimizing energy-intensive communication overhead [29]. Such distributed optimization mechanisms are essential for enabling sustainable large-scale edge intelligence deployment.

The environmental implications of wireless infrastructure extend beyond operational energy consumption. Communication networks increasingly depend on resource-intensive hardware manufacturing, rare earth material extraction, and large-scale data center operations. Graph-based topology optimization may indirectly reduce infrastructural expansion requirements by improving resource utilization efficiency and extending infrastructure lifespan through adaptive coordination [30]. This relationship between intelligent optimization and infrastructural sustainability represents an important emerging research direction.

Nevertheless, graph neural network deployment itself introduces computational sustainability concerns. Training large-scale graph models often requires substantial computational resources and energy-intensive hardware accelerators. In some cases, the energy costs associated with artificial intelligence optimization may partially offset operational efficiency gains [31]. Sustainable deployment therefore requires careful balancing between optimization benefits and artificial intelligence-related computational overhead.

Future wireless systems are also expected to support environmentally critical infrastructures including smart energy grids, environmental monitoring systems, and climate resilience coordination platforms. Intelligent topology optimization may therefore contribute indirectly to broader societal sustainability objectives by improving the efficiency and reliability of environmentally significant cyber-physical systems [32]. This broader societal role further reinforces the importance of responsible graph learning deployment.

Policy frameworks surrounding sustainable wireless infrastructure remain underdeveloped. Current regulatory discussions primarily emphasize spectrum allocation and market competition rather than environmental accountability. However, future governance models may increasingly require communication providers to demonstrate energy efficiency, carbon reduction, and sustainability compliance. Graph neural network optimization frameworks could become important tools for meeting such regulatory expectations [33].

6. Fairness, Governance, and Ethical Implications

The increasing autonomy of graph neural network-driven topology optimization systems introduces complex governance and ethical challenges that extend far beyond technical performance considerations. Future wireless infrastructures are likely to serve as foundational public utilities supporting economic activity, healthcare delivery, transportation coordination, emergency response, and educational access. Consequently, optimization decisions may significantly influence social equity, accessibility, and digital inclusion [34].

One major concern involves fairness in resource allocation. Artificial intelligence-driven topology optimization systems may unintentionally prioritize densely populated or economically profitable regions while reducing service quality in rural or underserved communities. Graph neural networks trained on historical infrastructure patterns may reproduce preexisting inequalities embedded within communication deployment strategies [35]. Such biases could exacerbate digital divides and reinforce socio-economic disparities.

Governance transparency represents another critical challenge. Graph neural network architectures often operate as highly complex black-box systems with limited interpretability. Infrastructure operators, regulators, and users may struggle to understand how optimization decisions are generated or why certain topology adaptations occur under specific conditions [36]. This opacity complicates accountability mechanisms and may undermine public trust in autonomous wireless infrastructures.

The integration of graph learning into critical communication infrastructures also raises questions regarding regulatory oversight. Existing telecommunications regulations were largely developed for human-managed infrastructures rather than self-optimizing artificial intelligence systems. Autonomous topology adaptation may create legal ambiguities concerning liability, service guarantees, and operational responsibility during infrastructure failures or security incidents [37]. Policymakers therefore face growing pressure to develop governance frameworks capable of addressing artificial intelligence-driven infrastructure management.

Privacy considerations are similarly important. Graph neural network optimization often relies on extensive data collection regarding user mobility, communication patterns, and network behavior. Although such data enables more efficient topology adaptation, it may also increase surveillance risks and expose sensitive behavioral information [38]. Future wireless governance frameworks must therefore balance optimization efficiency against privacy protection and civil liberties.

Security governance is becoming increasingly important as communication infrastructures evolve toward autonomous coordination. Artificial intelligence-driven topology optimization systems may become attractive targets for cyber attacks seeking to disrupt critical infrastructure operations. Adversarial manipulation of graph structures or training data could potentially compromise network reliability and public safety [39]. Robust security governance therefore requires continuous monitoring, anomaly detection, and resilience-oriented architecture design.

Ethical considerations also emerge regarding the concentration of infrastructural control within large technology corporations. The development of advanced graph neural network optimization platforms may reinforce market dominance by organizations possessing superior computational resources and proprietary training data. Such concentration could reduce competition and limit public oversight over critical communication infrastructures [40]. Open standards and collaborative governance mechanisms may therefore become essential for maintaining equitable technological ecosystems.

The deployment of graph neural network-driven optimization in public infrastructure environments also requires interdisciplinary collaboration among engineers, policymakers, ethicists, economists, and civil society organizations. Technical optimization alone cannot adequately address the broader societal implications of autonomous infrastructure coordination. Responsible deployment therefore depends on integrating ethical governance directly into technological design processes [41].

7. Deployment Challenges and Future Research Directions

Despite rapid progress in graph neural network research, substantial deployment barriers remain before intelligent topology optimization can achieve widespread operational adoption in future wireless infrastructures. One of the most significant challenges involves scalability under real-world network conditions. Large-scale wireless systems may contain millions of interconnected devices generating continuously evolving graph structures. Processing such massive dynamic graphs in real time remains computationally demanding [42].

Infrastructure heterogeneity further complicates deployment. Future wireless ecosystems are expected to integrate diverse communication technologies including terrestrial cellular systems, edge computing platforms, satellite networks, unmanned aerial systems, and industrial Internet of Things infrastructures. Each domain exhibits distinct operational constraints, latency characteristics, and reliability requirements. Developing unified graph learning architectures capable of coordinating across such heterogeneous environments remains a major research challenge [43].

Data quality and availability also influence optimization reliability. Graph neural networks require extensive operational data for effective training and adaptation. However, communication environments often contain incomplete, noisy, or adversarially manipulated information. Inconsistent data quality may degrade optimization accuracy and destabilize topology coordination mechanisms [44]. Future research must therefore prioritize robust learning architectures capable of maintaining reliability under uncertain information conditions.

Distributed deployment architectures introduce additional synchronization challenges. Decentralized graph inference mechanisms may generate conflicting optimization decisions across geographically distributed edge nodes. Maintaining coordination consistency while preserving low-latency responsiveness requires sophisticated consensus management frameworks [45]. This challenge becomes particularly important in safety-critical communication environments such as autonomous transportation systems and emergency response infrastructures.

Transferability and generalization remain unresolved issues in graph-based topology optimization. Many graph neural network models demonstrate strong performance within specific training environments but struggle to adapt effectively across different infrastructure contexts or operational scenarios. Wireless systems exhibit substantial variability across geographic regions, regulatory environments, hardware configurations, and user behavior patterns [46]. More generalized graph learning frameworks are therefore needed to support broad deployment scalability.

Research attention is also increasingly directed toward explainable graph neural networks for communication systems. Infrastructure operators and regulators require interpretable optimization mechanisms capable of providing understandable reasoning for topology adaptation decisions. Explainability may become particularly important in regulatory compliance, security auditing, and fault diagnosis contexts [47]. Transparent graph learning frameworks could significantly improve trust and accountability within autonomous wireless infrastructures.

Cross-layer optimization represents another important future direction. Current topology optimization research often isolates physical layer, network layer, and application layer optimization processes. However, future communication systems increasingly require integrated coordination across multiple infrastructural layers simultaneously. Graph neural networks may provide a unified abstraction framework capable of supporting holistic cross-layer intelligence [48].

The convergence between graph neural networks and reinforcement learning also presents significant opportunities for self-evolving communication infrastructures. Adaptive systems capable of continuously learning from environmental interactions may improve long-term resilience and efficiency. However, fully autonomous learning systems also raise concerns regarding unpredictability, policy alignment, and operational safety [49]. Careful governance mechanisms will therefore remain essential.

Emerging research has additionally explored the integration of graph federated learning into wireless optimization frameworks. Federated graph learning enables distributed infrastructure intelligence without requiring centralized data aggregation, thereby improving privacy protection and reducing communication overhead [50]. Such decentralized learning approaches may become increasingly important in privacy-sensitive communication environments.

Future research must also address the environmental sustainability of artificial intelligence-driven wireless infrastructures themselves. As graph neural network models become increasingly sophisticated, computational resource demands may continue to grow substantially. Sustainable artificial intelligence design principles will therefore become critical for balancing optimization performance against environmental impact [51]. The inclusion of adaptive quality-of-service optimization mechanisms based on reinforcement learning further illustrates the broader convergence between intelligent control and topology management in evolving wireless infrastructures [52].

8. Conclusion

Graph neural network approaches are rapidly emerging as transformative technologies for intelligent topology optimization in future wireless networks. The increasing complexity, decentralization, and heterogeneity of next-generation communication infrastructures have exposed fundamental limitations in traditional optimization methodologies. Graph learning frameworks provide powerful relational intelligence capabilities capable of modeling dynamic connectivity structures, distributed interactions, and large-scale coordination dependencies across evolving wireless ecosystems.

This paper has examined the system-level implications of graph neural network deployment across future communication infrastructures including 5G Advanced systems, sixth-generation communication environments, edge computing ecosystems, integrated terrestrial-satellite networks, and intelligent transportation infrastructures. The analysis demonstrates that graph-oriented optimization frameworks offer substantial advantages in scalability, adaptability, interference management, mobility coordination, and energy-aware resource allocation. These capabilities position graph neural networks as foundational components of future autonomous communication systems.

However, the transition toward graph-driven topology optimization also introduces substantial challenges related to computational scalability, governance transparency, fairness, privacy, security, and environmental sustainability. Autonomous optimization mechanisms may significantly influence social equity, infrastructural accountability, and regulatory governance within increasingly interconnected digital societies. Consequently, future wireless optimization cannot be treated solely as an engineering problem but must instead be approached as a broader socio-technical governance challenge.

The long-term success of graph neural network deployment in wireless infrastructures will depend on interdisciplinary collaboration integrating communication engineering, artificial intelligence research, public policy, sustainability science, cybersecurity, and ethical governance. Future research must prioritize explainable, resilient, energy-efficient, and socially accountable graph learning architectures capable of supporting trustworthy infrastructure intelligence at global scale. As wireless systems continue evolving toward pervasive autonomous connectivity, graph neural networks are likely to play a central role in shaping the operational foundations of future digital societies.

References

[1] Akyildiz, I. F., Nie, S., Lin, S. C., & Chandrasekaran, M. (2016). 5G roadmap: 10 key enabling technologies. Computer Networks, 106, 17–48.

[2] Andrews, J. G., Buzzi, S., Choi, W., Hanly, S. V., Lozano, A., Soong, A. C., & Zhang, J. C. (2014). What will 5G be? IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, 32(6), 1065–1082.

[3] Bennis, M., Debbah, M., & Poor, H. V. (2018). Ultrareliable and low-latency wireless communication: Tail, risk, and scale. Proceedings of the IEEE, 106(10), 1834–1853.

[4] Wu, Z., Pan, S., Chen, F., Long, G., Zhang, C., & Yu, P. S. (2021). A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 32(1), 4–24.

[5] Khan, L. U., Yaqoob, I., Tran, N. H., Han, Z., & Hong, C. S. (2020). Network slicing: Recent advances, taxonomy, requirements, and open research challenges. IEEE Access, 8, 36009–36028.

[6] Jiang, W., Strufe, T., & Schotten, H. D. (2021). Machine learning for next-generation wireless networks. IEEE Wireless Communications, 28(2), 10–11.

[7] Goldsmith, A. (2005). Wireless communications. Cambridge University Press.

[8] Saad, W., Bennis, M., & Chen, M. (2019). A vision of 6G wireless systems: Applications, trends, technologies, and open research problems. IEEE Network, 34(3), 134–142.

[9] Wang, C. X., Di Renzo, M., Stanczak, S., Wang, S., & Larsson, E. G. (2020). Artificial intelligence enabled wireless networking for 5G and beyond. IEEE Wireless Communications, 27(1), 16–23.

[10] Hämäläinen, S., Sanneck, H., & Sartori, C. (2012). LTE self-organising networks (SON): Network management automation for operational efficiency. Wiley.

[11] Zhou, J., Cui, G., Hu, S., Zhang, Z., Yang, C., Liu, Z., Wang, L., Li, C., & Sun, M. (2020). Graph neural networks: A review of methods and applications. AI Open, 1, 57–81.

[12] Letaief, K. B., Shi, Y., Lu, J., & Lu, J. (2019). Edge artificial intelligence for 6G: Vision, enabling technologies, and applications. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, 40(1), 5–36.

[13] Scarselli, F., Gori, M., Tsoi, A. C., Hagenbuchner, M., & Monfardini, G. (2009). The graph neural network model. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 20(1), 61–80.

[14] Jiang, C., Zhang, H., Ren, Y., Han, Z., Chen, K. C., & Hanzo, L. (2017). Machine learning paradigms for next-generation wireless networks. IEEE Wireless Communications, 24(2), 98–105.

[15] Pareja, A., Domeniconi, G., Chen, J., Ma, T., Suzumura, T., Kanezashi, H., Kaler, T., Leiserson, C., & Schardl, T. (2020). EvolveGCN: Evolving graph convolutional networks for dynamic graphs. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 34(4), 5363–5370.

[16] Shi, W., Cao, J., Zhang, Q., Li, Y., & Xu, L. (2016). Edge computing: Vision and challenges. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 3(5), 637–646.

[17] Chen, M., Challita, U., Saad, W., Yin, C., & Debbah, M. (2019). Artificial neural networks-based machine learning for wireless networks: A tutorial. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 21(4), 3039–3071.

[18] Zeng, Y., Zhang, R., & Lim, T. J. (2016). Wireless communications with unmanned aerial vehicles: Opportunities and challenges. IEEE Communications Magazine, 54(5), 36–42.

[19] Hamilton, W. L. (2020). Graph representation learning. Morgan & Claypool.

[20] Dang, S., Amin, O., Shihada, B., & Alouini, M. S. (2020). What should 6G be? Nature Electronics, 3(1), 20–29.

[21] Zhang, S., Zhu, D., & Cheng, X. (2022). Deep learning empowered wireless communications: A survey. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 24(1), 521–558.

[22] Mao, Q., Hu, F., & Hao, Q. (2018). Deep learning for intelligent wireless networks: A comprehensive survey. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 20(4), 2595–2621.

[23] Kodheli, O., Lagunas, E., Maturo, N., Sharma, S. K., Chatzinotas, S., Ottersten, B., Spano, D., Cacciapuoti, A. S., Caleffi, M., Popovski, P., & others. (2021). Satellite communications in the new space era. IEEE Communications Magazine, 59(2), 40–48.

[24] Foukas, X., Patounas, G., Elmokashfi, A., & Marina, M. K. (2017). Network slicing in 5G: Survey and challenges. IEEE Communications Magazine, 55(5), 94–100.

[25] Sutton, R. S., & Barto, A. G. (2018). Reinforcement learning: An introduction. MIT Press.

[26] Sun, Y., Peng, M., Zhou, Y., Huang, Y., & Mao, S. (2018). Application of machine learning in wireless networks: Key techniques and open issues. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 21(4), 3072–3108.

[27] Cisco. (2023). Cisco annual internet report. Cisco Systems.

[28] Xu, Y., Gui, G., Gacanin, H., & Adachi, F. (2021). A survey on resource allocation for 5G heterogeneous networks: Current research, future trends, and challenges. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 23(2), 668–695.

[29] Mach, P., & Becvar, Z. (2017). Mobile edge computing: A survey on architecture and computation offloading. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 19(3), 1628–1656.

[30] Hasan, Z., Boostanimehr, H., & Bhargava, V. K. (2011). Green cellular networks: A survey, some research issues and challenges. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 13(4), 524–540.

[31] Strubell, E., Ganesh, A., & McCallum, A. (2019). Energy and policy considerations for deep learning in NLP. Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 3645–3650.

[32] Al-Fuqaha, A., Guizani, M., Mohammadi, M., Aledhari, M., & Ayyash, M. (2015). Internet of Things: A survey on enabling technologies, protocols, and applications. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 17(4), 2347–2376.

[33] European Commission. (2022). Digitalisation and the environment. European Union Publications.

[34] Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019). The costs of connection: How data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism. Stanford University Press.

[35] Eubanks, V. (2018). Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor. St. Martin's Press.

[36] Rudin, C. (2019). Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(5), 206–215.

[37] Cath, C. (2018). Governing artificial intelligence: Ethical, legal and technical opportunities and challenges. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 376(2133), 20180080.

[38] Zuboff, S. (2019). The age of surveillance capitalism. PublicAffairs.

[39] Goodfellow, I., McDaniel, P., & Papernot, N. (2018). Making machine learning robust against adversarial inputs. Communications of the ACM, 61(7), 56–66.

[40] Srnicek, N. (2017). Platform capitalism. Polity Press.

[41] Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. Harvard Data Science Review, 1(1), 1–15.

[42] Wu, F., Souza, A., Zhang, T., Fifty, C., Yu, T., & Weinberger, K. Q. (2021). Simplifying graph convolutional networks. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 97, 6861–6871.

[43] Chen, S., Sun, S., Kang, S., & others. (2020). System integration of terrestrial mobile communication and satellite communication. IEEE Network, 34(1), 38–45.

[44] Zhou, Z., Chen, X., Li, E., Zeng, L., Luo, K., & Zhang, J. (2019). Edge intelligence: Paving the last mile of artificial intelligence with edge computing. Proceedings of the IEEE, 107(8), 1738–1762.

[45] Li, Y., & Chen, M. (2018). Software-defined network function virtualization: A survey. IEEE Access, 3, 2542–2553.

[46] Bronstein, M. M., Bruna, J., LeCun, Y., Szlam, A., & Vandergheynst, P. (2017). Geometric deep learning: Going beyond Euclidean data. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 34(4), 18–42.

[47] Ying, Z., Bourgeois, D., You, J., Zitnik, M., & Leskovec, J. (2019). GNNExplainer: Generating explanations for graph neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 32, 9240–9251.

[48] Ji, B., Han, Y., Li, S., Wen, M., Duan, L., & Chen, R. (2021). Survey on the internet of vehicles: Network architectures and applications. IEEE Communications Standards Magazine, 5(2), 78–84.

[49] Zhang, K., Yang, Z., & Basar, T. (2021). Multi-agent reinforcement learning: Foundations and modern approaches. MIT Press.

[50] He, C., Balasubramanian, K., Ceyani, E., Yang, H., Xie, L., Sun, L., & others. (2021). FedGraphNN: A federated learning system and benchmark for graph neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.07145.

[51] Schwartz, R., Dodge, J., Smith, N. A., & Etzioni, O. (2020). Green AI. Communications of the ACM, 63(12), 54–63.

[52] Li, Q. (2026). QoS Assurance Mechanism for 5G Network Slicing Based on the Deep Reinforcement Learning PPO Algorithm. arXiv preprint arXiv:2605.03345.